

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
GREENVILLE DIVISION

Eric Darcey Thompkins, #185291, )  
Plaintiff, ) Civil Action No. 6:06-0656-TLW-WMC  
vs. )  
Mr. Coley Rushton, et al., )  
Defendants. )  
\_\_\_\_\_  
)

The plaintiff brought this action seeking relief pursuant to Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983. On July 6, 2006, the defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. On July 7, 2006, pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), the plaintiff was advised of the summary judgment procedure and the possible consequences if he failed to respond adequately. Despite this explanation, the plaintiff elected not to respond to the motion.

As the plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the court filed a second order on August 18, 2006, giving the plaintiff through September 11, 2006, to file his response to the motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond, this action would be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The plaintiff elected not to respond.

Based on the foregoing, it appears the plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue this action. Accordingly, it is recommended that this action be dismissed for lack of prosecution pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Ballard v. Carlson*, 882 F.2d 93 (4th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 493 U.S. 1084 (1990).

s/William M. Catoe  
United States Magistrate Judge  
September 19, 2006  
Greenville, South Carolina